

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,965	12/09/2003	Hsin-Ho Wu	NSC1P287/P05765	6419
22434 7	590 02/13/2006		EXAMINER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			FLORES RUIZ, DELMA R	
P.O. BOX 70250 OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2000	DATE MAILED: 02/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/731,965	WU ET AL.				
Of	fice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Delma R. Flores Ruiz	2828				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) N - If NO period fe - Failure to repl Any reply rece	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY R. IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAI time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, sived by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	 N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). 				
Status							
1)⊠ Respo	onsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 De</u>	ecember 2003.					
· ·							
•—							
close	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of	│ Claims						
4)⊠ Claim	(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-29</u> is/are rejected.						
7)∐ Claim	(s) is/are objected to.						
8)∭ Claim	(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Pa	pers						
9)∐ The sp	pecification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 December 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) ⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oa	ath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
	ferences Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 49/03							

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 12/09/2003 have been considered by the examiner.

Drawings

Figure 1, should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 2828

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 20 – 29 are recites the limitation "table" in claims 20, line 13 and 27, line

11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 27 – 29 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 26 – 29 of copending Application No. 10/683,212. Although the conflicting claims are not identical,

Art Unit: 2828

they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present claims are broader than the claims of '212, all of the limitations are claimed in '212.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1 - 19 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Claim 1 recites a method of controlling optical power for a laser in a fiber optic link where the laser is selected form among a population of similar lasers, structure including the specific structure limitation of determining a relationship between modulation current (I_{mod}) and temperature using the data models of laser performance; determining a relationship between target average power and temperature for a specific laser device over a range of temperature using the base power level; and adjusting laser performance based on the temperature target, target average power, and modulation current (I_{mod}), which is neither anticipated or disclosed nor suggested in any piece of available prior art, which is neither anticipated nor obvious over the prior art of record.

Art Unit: 2828

Claim 5 recites a method of establishing a trim and compensation scheme for a laser emitter in a fiber optic link where the laser emitter is selected from among a population of similar lasers, structure including the specific structure limitation of *laser having the determined base power levels does not satisfy the set of pre-specified operating parameters, the user specified performance parameters are adjusted and the operations of base and control of the set of pre-specified operating and the determined base power does satisfy the set of pre-specified operating parameters, then process modes on to the next operation d); and determining a relationship between temperature and associated current values that can be used to regulate laser performance over a range of temperature, which is neither anticipated nor obvious over the prior art of record.*

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 2828



Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Delma R. Flores Ruiz whose telephone number is (571) 272-1940. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Min Sun Harvey can be reached on (571) -272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Delma R. Flore

Art Unit 2828

DRFR/MH

February 2, 2006

Min Sun Harvey

Supervisor Patent Examiner Art Unit 2828